## **Ethical guidelines**

(adapted from guidelines of Journal of Internet Services and Information Security, <a href="http://www.jisis.org/publication-ethics-and-malpractice-statement.php">http://www.jisis.org/publication-ethics-and-malpractice-statement.php</a>).

NorDiNa is committed to ensuring ethics in publication and quality of articles. Ethical behavior is expected of all parties involved: Authors, Editors and Reviewers.

Authors should present an objective discussion of the significance of research work and provide adequate references. Data presented must be authentic and collected by the authors, otherwise adequate references must be provided. Fraudulent or knowingly inaccurate statements constitute unethical behavior and are unacceptable. Review articles should also be objective, comprehensive, and accurate accounts of the state of the art. The authors should ensure that their work is entirely original works, and if the work and/or words of others have been used, this has been appropriately acknowledged. Plagiarism in all its forms constitutes unethical publishing behavior and is unacceptable. Submitting the same manuscript to more than one journal concurrently constitutes unethical publishing behavior and is unacceptable. Editors should evaluate manuscripts exclusively on the basis of their academic merit. An editor must not use unpublished information in the editor's own research without the express written consent of the author. Editors should take reasonable responsive measures when ethical complaints have been presented concerning a submitted manuscript or published paper.

Any manuscripts received for review must be treated as confidential documents. Privileged information or ideas obtained through peer review must be kept confidential and not used for personal advantage. Reviews should be conducted objectively, and observations should be formulated clearly with supporting arguments, so that authors can use them for improving the paper. Reviewers should not consider manuscripts in which they have conflicts of interest resulting from competitive, collaborative, or other relationships or connections with any of the authors, companies, or institutions connected to the papers.